13e Deodher



20.8.18 1.9.18 1.9.18 3-9.18 4.9.18

In the count of the 2d, District Judge at Alifone Miscease - 352/17

Sue some Dewar Laxshoni Mata Thakurani Estate represented by soi Debapriya Sanyal a Debapriya Kumun Sangal And ons. Scheende of cost of the copy

Application 1. Style 1. Sty



IN THE COURT OF THE DISTRICT JUDGE, SOUTH 24-PARGANAS AT ALIPORE.

Misc Case No.352/17

= rec State (such land him) What Thankman Estate

Order No.11, dated 28.8.2018 represented by Sni Debapriya Sanyalta Kuman Sa

The petitioners Sri Debapriya Sanyal and three other Sebaits of the Deity Sree Sree Maa Lakshmi Mata are represented by their learned Lawyer.

The case record is put up today for passing order.

The instant case arises out of an application u/s 34 of the Indian Trusts Act.

Briefly stated, the case of the petitioners is as follows:

The building standing on 9 cottahs, 1 chittak, 14 sq. ft. (more or less) in respect of premises No.13C, Deodhar Street, P.S. Ballygunge, Kolkata - 700019 shall hereinafter be called as the case building or case property. Smt. Padmasana Devi was the owner of the case building and she possessed the same during her life time. She consecrated the case property by executing an Arpannama dated 29.3.1945 in favour of the Deity Sree Sree Maa Lakshmi Mata (Sree Sree Iswar Lakshmi Mata Thakurani). She appointed her husband Pramatha Chandra Sanyal as the first Sebait. After the death of Pramatha Chandra Sanyal, his sons Prafulla Kumar Sanyal and Prabhat Kumar Sanyal became the Sebarts of the Deity.

By way of inheritance and my mutual consent/understanding, the petitioners have now become the Sebaits of the Derty.

The case building is in disapidated condition. For the overall benefit of the Deity and to increase the income of the property, the politioners seek permission of this court to develop the case property. Hence, the case arose.

I have perused the evidence of P.W.1 Debapriya Sanyal and the documents which have been marked as Exts. 1 to 14

From the recitais of the Arpanaama (Ext.1), I find that the Trust created by Smt. Padmasana Devi is a private Trust and the case property/building involved in the Arpannama is a private Debutta: property,

According to Saving Clause of Section 1 of the Indian Trusts Act, Section 34 of the Indian Trusts Act does not apply to private trust and private Debuttar property.

In view of the above, the asstant case should be dismissed as not maintainable u/s 34 of the Indian Trusts Act.

But, it is made clear that the petitioners do not need any permission from this court to transfer or develop the building/case property for the overall benefit of the

Accordingly, it is, ORDERED, that the case be dismissed as not maintainable u/s 34 of the Indian Trusts Act. Dictated & corrected by me

District Judge







Examing a and found to be a true fony and correctly stamped Names of an Milon

Alipore 3/7//8 Comparing Clerk

Copying Le partine Copy Durice Judge's Court 1873

A may